BEFORE THE DELAWARE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GARY S. PRETTMAN SR. DIRECTOR REGULATORY BUSINESS SUEZ

February 2016

1		BACKGROUND			
2	Q.	Please state your name, occupation and business address.			
3	A.	My name is Gary S. Prettyman and I am Senior Director Regulatory Business			
4		at SUEZ Water Management & Services Inc. ("M&S"), and I am authorized to			
5		testify on behalf of SUEZ Water Delaware Inc. ("SWDE" or the "Company") in			
6		this case. My business address is SUEZ Water Management & Services, 461			
7		From Road, Suite 400, Paramus, NJ 07652.			
8					
9	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and professional			
10		experience.			
11	A.	I have over thirty-seven year's experience in water and wastewater utility			
12		management and regulatory practice including all aspects of rate increase			
13		applications. I have testified before regulatory commissions on accounting			
14		issues, tariff design, and company policy in numerous proceedings. Since			
15		2012, I have been employed by SUEZ Water to provide assistance and			
16		supervision in the preparation and filing of various types of regulatory			
17		applications, including base rate proceedings. The details of my professional			
18		experience and educational background are shown in Appendix A			
19		supplementing this testimony.			
20					
20.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?			
22	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the request of SWDE for an			
23		increase in its base rates for water service. Specifically, I am supporting the			

1		Company's position regarding SUEZ Water Management and Services fees
2		and the new methodology being utilized to allocate those fees.
3		
4	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit which supports the Company's request?
5	A.	Yes, I have.
6		
7	Q.	Please explain how the M&S fees were previously charged to SWDE.
8	A.	The basis of M&S charged to SWDE is a contract between the two companies
9		dated 1974. This contract was adopted in 1995 when General Water Works
10		merged with United Water. These contracts are attached to M.F.R. 5.3.14.
11		M&S provides certain services including administration, communication,
12		customer service, finance, human resources, information systems, legal,
13		procurement, technical services and other general services necessary in the
14		proper conduct of business.
15		Based upon the contract, labor charges are either charged directly to a
16		project or company or allocated based upon certain formulas. The formulas
17		have a multitude of combinations which makes it very difficult to analyze.
18		
19	Q.	Is M&S proposing a change in the methodology it charges time and
20		allocations to the operating companies and other subsidiaries?
21	A.	Yes.
22		
23	Q	Please explain.

A. The goal was to make allocations simpler and more transparent. The new formula will be based upon a three factor formula based upon revenues, assets and customers. All employees time will be based upon this formula and therefore allocated appropriately. The exception to this would be engineers and IT personnel who typically work specifically on a certain project.

The first allocation reflects each departments function. For example if a department works solely for regulated companies like SWDE, then 100% of that departments time and expenses would go to the regulated segment. The opposite is also true, if a department works 100% for non-regulated business then 100% of that departments time and expenses would be charged 100% to the non-regulated segment. All other departments that work for the benefit of all businesses would be allocated according to the three factor formula.

Q. Will reporting be improved in order to explain charges to the operating companies?

A. Yes, currently because of the complexities it is extremely difficult to provide exact details of expenses. New reports are anticipated to be developed in order to provide the necessary details.

Q. Are there any other changes in addition to labor charges?

A. Yes. Previously there were many expenses that were paid by M&S and either allocated or charged directly based upon certain formulas. These expenses include general liability and property insurance, outside IT support, audit fees

and actuarial fees. These are called corporate assumptions and were previously not included in M&S fees. With the new allocations, these expenses will be included in M&S fees.

Additionally, capital expenditures, generally related to IT issues such as the PeopleSoft accounting software upgrade and Powerplan asset management software, for example, previously would have been allocated to each operating company and reflected on that company's balance sheet. As such, it would have increased the operating company's rate base and recovered in rates through deprecation expense and rate of return. With the new methodology, the asset with be maintained on M&S balance sheet and allocated to the operating companies and recovered through deprecation and return. As a result the revenue requirement is the same for these assets under the new method or the old method.

Q. Have you reflected the new methodology in the current rate case?

A. Yes, attached is Exhibit GSP-1 which compares the Test Year amounts to the new Test Period charges. A summary of the costs are as follows:

18		Test Year	Test Period	Savings
19	Corporate assumptions	\$554,027		
20	M&S Fees	\$1,792,440		
21	Total	\$2,346,467	\$2,110,385	(\$236,082)
22	2016 salary increase		45,130	
23	Shared assets		217,128	

1		Total \$2,372,	653
2			
3	Q.). Is the Company planning to file the new contract v	with the Commission?
4	A.	Yes, however the plan is to file the contract separa	ately from the current rate
5		case proceeding.	
6			
7	Q.	. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?	
8	A.	. Yes it does.	

APPENDIX A

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

OF

GARY S. PRETTYMAN

UNITED WATER

GARY S. PRETTYMAN

Has over thirty-seven years' experience in water and wastewater utility management and regulatory practices. This includes preparation of all aspects of rate increase application, review of testimony of all witnesses participating in the case, interface with regulatory commission staff and Public Advocate, budgeting, acquisition analysis, bill analysis, legislative review, and compliance with regulatory requirements. Has testified on accounting issues, tariff design, and company policy in numerous regulatory proceedings.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2012 to present

United Water, Paramus, New Jersey. Senior Director Regulatory Business. Responsible for preparation of rate increase application for the subsidiary companies and filing with state regulatory commissions. This includes 16 water and wastewater companies in 6 states. Also involved in other company issues including management planning, accounting, special tariff contracts, various petitions filed with Commissions and acquisitions.

2008 to July 2011

AUS Consultants, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey. Principal and Vice President. Has offered testimony as an expert witness on the subjects of revenue requirements, bill analysis, proof of revenues and tariff design, rate base, accounting, and lead/lag studies. Has also prepared original cost studies and acquisition analysis.

2004 to 2008

Prettyman Consulting, Mt Laurel, New Jersey. President and principal consultant providing rate case and other regulatory services to clients.

2001 to 2004

Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, New Jersey. Vice President – Rates and Regulation of Elizabethtown Water Company. Was responsible for all regulatory matters for the subsidiary companies. This includes preparation and filing of rate increase applications with the state regulatory commission, other matters filed with the commission and was liaison with regulatory organizations.

1996 to 2001

AUS Consultants, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey. Vice President responsible primarily for water and wastewater regulatory matters pertaining to rate cases. This includes: preparing all accounting exhibits and supporting testimony, preparing the petition for filing, conducting and/or assisting in settlement negotiations, bill analysis, proof of revenues and tariff design, lead/lag studies and various regulatory and financial matters.

1979 to 1996

American Water Works Company, Inc./New Jersey-American Water Company. Was employed as Director of Rates and Revenue responsible for the preparation of rate increase applications for the subsidiary water companies. Responsible for development of the company's budget function, participated in acquisition of water and/or sewer companies and prepared special projects as required. Was also Assistant Treasurer of subsidiary companies for six years.

Assistant Director of Rates and Revenue. In addition to preparing financial and economic aspects of the rate increase applications, assisted Director in the management, supervision, development, and daily operations of the department and related staff.

Business Manager for local operating office responsible for the supervision and management of all daily business-related operations of the company.

Revenue Requirement Specialist for American Water Works Service Company. Prepared and supported in testimony financial and economic aspects of the rate increase applications for operating water companies in several states.

Rate Analyst I and II. Prepared financial and economic aspects of rate increase applications for the appropriate witness in the case.

1977 to 1979

Computer Sciences Corporation. Was employed as a Staff Accountant in the Defense System Division. Developed and implemented controls for the division property system and conducted capital budget analysis, property system reconciliation, depreciation schedule, and participated in special projects.

TESTIMONY

I presented testimony to and have been cross-examined before the following regulatory authorities:

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Delaware Public Service Commission Florida Public Service Commission Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission New Jersey Board of Public Utilities New York Public Service Commission North Carolina Utilities Commission (submitted only) Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Submitted only) State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Public Utilities Commission State Corporation Commission of Virginia Public Service Commission of West Virginia (submitted only)

LIST OF CLIENTS SERVED

Adelphia Sewer Company Adelphia Water Company American Anglian

Andover Utility Company

Applied Wastewater Management, Inc.

Aqua Utilities Florida Aqua New Jersey Agua North Carolina

Bridgeport Hydraulic Company Connecticut Natural Gas Company Consumers New Jersey Water Company Delaware Assoc. of Alternative Energy

Providers

Elizabethtown Water Company

Equitable Gas Company Gulf & Southern Resources, LLC

Borough of Hamburg

Kansas City Power and Light KH Beacon Hill Sewer, Inc. Long Neck Water Company Mount Holly Water Company Mountaineer Gas Company

New Jersey-American Water Company

Papetti Hygrade (Michael Foods, Inc)

Public Water Supply Company Rolling Hills Sewer Company

Borough of South River

Sussex Shores Water Company Thames Water Holdings, Inc.

Trenton Water Works Tidewater Utilities, Inc.

United Water Arlington Hills Sewerage Co.

United Water Delaware United Water Great George United Water Indiana

United Water Mid-Atlantic Utilities

United Water New Jersey United Water New Rochelle

United Water Owego

United Water Pennsylvania United Water Princeton Meadows

United Water Rhode Island United Water Toms River United Water Virginia United Water West Chester

United Water West Milford

Wildwood Water Utility

While employed with American Water Works Company, I participated in over 75 rate proceedings in New Jersey, New York and Connecticut.

PERSONAL

Education:

1976 - Rowan University - B.A. Business Administration/Accounting

Professional Affiliations:

National Association of Water Companies -

Associate Member National Regulatory Committee

Current Secretary New Jersey Chapter

Past Chairman New Jersey Chapter

Past Chairman Scholarship Committee New Jersey Chapter

Past Member of Regulatory Committee New Jersey Chapter

Past Member National

Past Member of Small Water Companies Committee - National

New Jersey Utilities Association - Past Chairman Rates and Regulation Committee

Current Member Rates and Regulation Committee

		-6			
Corporat	te Assun	notions	rolled into	Shared	Services

Exhibit GSP-1

SOLE Water Management and Serv	VICE3			EXIIIDIE GOI I	
Corporate Assumptions rolled into	Shared Services SubAccount	Description	Delaware Test Year 10/01/2014 - 09/30/2015 Actuals	New M&S Allocation Methodology Pro Forma 2016	
			-		
CCB IT Support	50405	CC&B support costs - Not including CCB Printing Costs	\$47,788	0	
Other IT Corporate Assumptions	91250	Outside Services - IS (includes IT_HRIS from 91350 \$5,659)	171,798	0	
	91350	Outside service - other			
R+I Alliance	91310	Outside Serv - Mgt Fees Other	48,920	0	
Audit Fees	91100	Outside service - acctg & audg	32,347	0	
WorkCare	91350	Outside service - other	1,614	0	
In Subcontractors Costs		31	302,466	0	
General Casualty	91450	General corporate insurance	184,081	0	
Property	91400	Property insurance	14,252	0	
WIKTI	92150	Staff mtgs, conf's & seminars	5,023	0	
AWWARF	90500	Club and professional dues	11,308	0	
Training & Recruiting	92150	Staff mtgs, conf's & seminars	14,003	0	
Actuarial Services	91350	Outside service - other	19,909	0	
Vanguard Admin Fees			0	0	
PureSafety	92150	Staff mtgs, conf's & seminars	2,984	0	
In Other Costs			251,560	0	
		Total corporate allocations	554,027	0	
M&S expenses	9085	0	1,399,200		
mas expenses	9085		393,240		
	9085		0		Decrease
			1,792,440		Compared to
					<u>Test Year</u>
		Total M&S and Corporate assumptions	\$2,346,467	\$2,110,385	(\$236,082)
			2016 salary i		
			Share	d assets 217,128	
				Total 2,372,653	